

CUFORM inc

Canadian U.F.O.
Research Network


P.O. Box 15, Station "A"
Willowdale, Ontario, Canada M2N 5S7
(416) 787-1935

BULLETIN

Co-Directors
Joseph Muskat
Larry Fenwick
Harry Tokarz

Crescat scientia vita excolatur (where knowledge increases, life is enabled)
Vol. 10, No. 3, May-June, 1989

\$6.00



The white area in the lower left section of this picture contains residue from a reported sighting of a "huge, glowing light" which was initially seen looking like a "huge projector" in the sky and then silently descended and landed on the beach at Haifa, Israel on April 21, 1989, at 2.20 am. It was seen by a young couple. SEE STORY INSIDE
Photo by Hadassa Arbel.

IN THIS ISSUE:--THIRD LANDING ON ISRAELI BEACH; ANALYSIS BY
CONSULTANT AFTER SAMPLE MAILED TO CUFORM
--PART TWO OF AN INTERVIEW WITH JOHN LEAR
--UFO SIGHTING OVER MOUNT ARCHULETA, NEW MEXICO
--ERIC ARTHUR WALKER--MJ-12 CONSULTANT--HIS
ACADEMIC BACKGROUND

"Pillar of fire" lands on Israeli beach

by Hadassa Arbel

CUFORN CORRESPONDENT, ISRAEL

Here are the chronological details of something extremely interesting that happened in Haifa, Israel recently.

On Friday, April 21, 1989, at 2.20 a.m., a young unmarried couple, Ida Bidderman, 22, and Allon Eilat, 22, drove their car along Haifa Beach, when their eyes caught suddenly a huge, glowing light which they said was "like a huge projector." in the sky, above the sea. It lit the area with a very bright light. They went out of the car to look at it and suddenly it started falling. As it fell, it also changed shape and became or was transformed into a huge pillar of fire, falling perpendicularly and silently.

They watched the fall, which lasted about six seconds. They described it as 20 meters long and about six meters wide. They were at a distance of approximately 300 meters from the fall. When this burning object touched the ground - it did so silently (which might indicate that it was very light, because no thud was heard). Touching the ground, the object created a combustion. The eyewitnesses described it as a "huge flame, spitting whitish-bluish sparks, like those produced when welding a metal". The "fire" was, at the beginning, very high: about 15 meters high (like a building).

ran toward flame

The two young persons did not exactly understand what they saw. They thought that perhaps something was burning and perhaps their help might be needed. So they ran towards the "flame" to help quench it. At a distance of about ten meters from the "flame" they had to stop because the heat was too heavy to bear. So they waited for about 10-12 minutes until the "flame" diminished to the extent that they could approach.

When they got near the "flame", they noticed

that exactly beneath the "flame" there was a lump of heated, unidentified material, elliptically shaped. They noticed that the lump had in it a few holes, which gradually became one single and bigger hole from which by now small flames and sparks still came out. They threw a few stones in it so as to choke the flames, but each time they threw a stone into the lump, the flames or sparks jumped higher. They thought it was strange as it behaved in the opposite way that a "normal" fire should behave.

At this point, the two young people summoned the police. The police tried throwing stones into the fuming, softly burning lump. Still the "flame" was revived.

At 3 a.m., the police summoned me. A police car came to fetch me and I arrived at the site at about 3.30 a.m. The spot of the event was approximately 100 meters north of the Oceanographic Institute, about 300-400 meters north of the first scorch of September 26, 1987 and about 200 meters north of the second scorch of May 28, 1988. This means that the incidents move systematically to the north, in the direction of the Cave of Elijah the Prophet, which is less than two kilometers from there. Coincidence? I wonder.

light, white lump found

When I reached the spot, here is what I saw. I found a lump (by now more or less formless) of extremely white and very crispy and extremely light material. When I touched it, it was still hot. Since it fell on a rocky area of the beach, it was on a dry spot but surrounded on three sides by sea water. This water within a radius of 15 meters of the lump was bubbling, though the water was not hot. The bubbling started as soon as the unidentified object fell near it and was still bubbling at 5 a.m., when I left the place for the first time.

continued on page 3



The murky, bubbling water surrounding the lump of material.
PHOTO BY HAOASSA ARBEL

CONTINUED FROM PAGE 2

The bubbling sea water was murky, as if mixed with some gray powder. I took samples of the bubbling water and of the white, crispy light material of the lump and I gave some of it to a laboratory in the Oceanographic Institute for testing. I also gave some samples of these to another professor to compare the results. Once I have the results, I will let you know.

EDITOR'S NOTE: She mailed a sample to CUFORN. We had it tested by our consultant in chemistry and metallurgy, George Hathaway. The results of two of the three tests he had done are presented later in this issue of the Bulletin.

The CUFORN Bulletin is written, edited and published bi-monthly by Lawrence J. Fenwick with occasional articles contributed. Subscription \$20.00 yearly in Canada. Other countries-\$22.00. © Copyright CUFORN, Inc., 1989. Reprinting in whole or in part requires permission of the Editor/Publisher. Credit must include the words "Copyright 1989 by the CUFORN Bulletin, P.O. Box 15, Station "A", Willowdale, Ontario, Canada M2N 5S7."

claims healing power

Regarding Ammi Achray, the young man who was affected by the first scorched area near
CONTINUED ON PAGE 4

CUFORN STAFF

President-Joseph Muskat

Corresponding Secretary-Lawrence J. Fenwick,
B.A.A. (Journalism)

Treasurer-Harry Tokarz

CONSULTANTS

Physics-David Harrison, Ph.D.

George Hathaway, Ph.D., P. Eng.

Eric R. Smith, B. Sc.

Stanton T. Friedman, B.Sc.M.Sc.

Astronomy-Rick Ivanoff

Terence Dickinson, B.Sc.M. Sc.

Bruce D. Powell

Aeronautics-Bruce D. Powell

Claude Freeman

Eric R. Smith, B.Sc.

Linguistics-P.M.H. Maximilian Edwards, Ph.D.

Computer Technology-Victor Lourenco

Willy Smith, B.Sc.

Regressive Hypnosis-David Gotlib, M.D.

CONTINUED FROM PAGE 3

Shikmona Beach on September 28, 1987; something very peculiar and not altogether positive happened to him. He claims to have been given the power of healing. Some people with whom I talked said that it is true and one of them told me he did relieve her back pain. On the other hand, some people said that his touch did not have any effect on them. I suppose he does have a certain healing power. This is the positive part. On the negative side, he became very fat, as if swollen. He almost doubled his weight.

knowledge increased

He also had a peculiar change of personality. There occurred in him a sort of artificial shift of consciousness. Remember, he was a very simple person, with a very limited vocabulary. Now, he uses words which belong to the metaphysical vocabulary, but his sentences are still broken and non-grammatical. It is as if he evolved in knowledge (spiritual), but not in inner growth and inner development. It is as if his spiritual "jump" was forced or injected by a factor outside him and not out of his free choice or gradual spiritual development from within.


prophecy fails

The most alarming aspect of the story, however, is that whatever happened to him has affected his ego: he thinks now he is a chosen one. Therefore he went so far as to declare to the press that on such and such a date "something outstanding will happen." Nothing special happened on that date, of course. It seems as if an astral force has taken some hold of him. This is how things stand so far.

SEE ANALYSIS ON PAGES 5-8

IN OUR NEXT ISSUE :

- REPORT ON 1988 MUFON UFO SYMPOSIUM IN LAS VEGAS
- EARLY 1970'S MULTIPLE-WITNESS CE2 WITH MISSING TIME REPORTED BY ONE OBSERVER (POSSIBLE CE4)
- DRAFT OF NEW MUFON UFO EVENT SPREAD SHEET FOR INVESTIGATORS
- LETTER TO THE EDITOR



UNCOATED ISRAELI WHITE POWDER

A Scanning Electron Microscope photo of the unidentified white material is seen above. The poor quality of the photograph is due to the difficulty of coating the powder. The analysis by George Hathaway was done with a Hitachi S.E.M. with a Link DS and dar system. Results of the analysis are shown in Section C of Hathaway's report on page 6 of this issue.

Source List

Enlarged listing of 162 sources of worldwide UFO information. Send \$5.00 check or money order to investigator/lecturer George D. Fawcett, 602 Battleground Rd., Lincolnton, NC 28092 U.S.A. If from overseas, write first for extra cost for postage and handling of order.

REPORT on WHITE POWDER ANALYSIS

G. Hathaway, P.Eng.

July 4, 1989

Introduction

On June 20, 1989 the author received a sample of unidentified white material from Mr. Harry Tokarz of Toronto for general chemical analysis. About 5 cc of an odourless part white powder, part whitish clumped substance was provided enclosed in a conventional matchbox wrapped in a small decorated paper envelope. It did not appear to have been mixed and therefore was likely quite inhomogeneous. The substance was said to have been collected from a larger deposit of the same material located either in the sea water or on the shore at a location in Israel. Apparently the substance had been found in an area where a bright fiery object had fallen to the ground.

Analysis Methodology and Results

A. Radioactivity

Using a scintillometer as well as a Geiger counter, it was found that the sample was no more radioactive than background.

B. X-Ray Fluorescence

On June 23 at the author's laboratory, about .5 cc was enclosed in a taped polyethylene bag and enclosed with an Americium 241 gamma source for an initial qualitative X-Ray Fluorescence Analysis. The sample and source were not evacuated. The results of this analysis showed a quantity of Chlorine, lesser amounts of Calcium and trace amounts of Iron, Titanium, Copper and Nickel. Because the sample was not evacuated and for other reasons, elements below Chlorine, eg. Sulfur, Silicon, Aluminum, Magnesium, Sodium etc. were not able to be detected by this method.

Due to an apparent measurement artifact, several other elements were identified, including radioactive elements, but which were highly improbable. These spurious readings may be the result of the tape used and are currently being analysed again to determine their cause.

C. Scanning Electron Microscope and Analyser

On June 22, 1989 the author analysed about .25 cc of the substance using an Hitachi Scanning Electron Microscope (SEM) with an Energy Dispersive X-Ray (EDX) analyser attached, located at the University of Toronto. The sample was carbon coated for the analysis but the attached photo was taken of uncoated sample. This photo shows an area about 90 x 110 microns and unfortunately is not of high quality. It shows an amorphous powder with a circular object of the same material about 15 microns in diameter at the lower right. Subsequent images not photographed showed a few cubic crystals interspersed in the powder.

The attached SEM EDX analysis represents an average analysis over approx. a 1 mm square area. It shows high amounts of Magnesium (Mg) and Chlorine (Cl) with a trace of Sulfur (S) and perhaps Potassium (K) and Calcium (Ca). This scan is up to 6.0 keV but no other elements were detected up to 30 keV. Elements lighter than Magnesium (eg. Sodium, Oxygen, Carbon etc.) could not be detected due to the wetness of the sample making the required high vacuum difficult to achieve.

Quantitative analysis on the XRF and EDX systems were not performed due to the time and cost involved to set these up.

D. Neutron Activation Analysis

On June 27, 1989 approx. 1 cc of material was analysed at the University of Toronto's Slowpoke Reactor using Instrumental Neutron Activation Analysis (INAA). Due to the cost of the analysis, only the short-lived isotopes were analysed for. The sample was not dried but by this time it was in a sealed poly sample bag. The result of this analysis is shown below (recall 10,000 ppm is 1%):

Neutron Activation Analysis for Short-Lived Isotopes Only

<u>Element</u>	<u>Abundance (ppm or %)</u>
Magnesium	55 % (+/- 3%)
Chlorine	2200 ppm (+/- 100 ppm)
Sodium	1400 ppm (+/- 100 ppm)
Calcium	<= 600 ppm
Titanium	<= 380 ppm
Aluminum	<= 230 ppm
Copper	<= 44 ppm
Manganese	<= 35 ppm

In the above analysis, +/- means plus/minus error bound and <= means less than or equal to.

The quantity of Magnesium is consistent with either or both of Magnesium Oxide (the mineral Periclase - MgO) or Magnesium Peroxide (MgO₂). In MgO the Magnesium is about 60% and in MgO₂ it is about 43%. No analysis was possible for oxygen which, in general, requires other chemical analytical procedures. The relative quantities of Sodium and Chlorine are close to that found in Sodium Chloride.

The sum of all of these elements is a little over 55% with the remainder (ie. almost 45%) most likely Oxygen.

E. Solubility

The sample is not soluble in room temp (21 deg. C) tap water.

Discussion

The analysis above is consistent with the burning of Magnesium in air. Depending on atmospheric conditions, either MgO or MgO₂ can be formed, or both. Both compounds are effectively insoluble in water but the peroxide is a white powder at STP (Standard Temperature and Pressure) while the oxide consists of colourless cubic crystals. According to visual observation through the SEM, the vast bulk of the material, probably greater than 99%, is an amorphous white powder consistent with the peroxide.


The Sodium and Chlorine may be from the seawater and possibly from the sweat from handling by human hands.

The trace elements also found could come from the surrounding dirt or beach sand (the sample was by no means pure white). They could also have come from the chemicals used to make the striker on the side of the matchbox. Most of this would be Phosphorous with Sulfur. Although the analytical procedures above were capable of detecting Phosphorous, only Sulfur shows up in trace amounts, consistent with its originating on the matchbox.

CONCLUDED ON PAGE 8 WITH GRAPH SHOWING EDX ANALYSIS. IN A FUTURE ISSUE, WE HOPE TO PRESENT A FINAL REPORT ON PART B, THE X-RAY FLUORESCENCE ANALYSIS, WHICH HAD SOME IMPROBABLE ELEMENTS SHOWN IN THE READINGS, AND WHICH MAY BE SPURIOUS. IF WE RECEIVE IT, WE WILL ALSO PRINT THE ANALYSIS OF THE SAMPLE DONE IN ISRAEL.

EDX ANALYSIS

X-RAY: 0 - 20 keV
 Live: 100s Preset: 100s Remaining: 0s
 Real: 122s 18% Dead



< .8 3.400 keV 6.0 >
 FS= 2K ch 180= 250 cts
 MEM1: POWDER 30 KV

[Handwritten Signature]
 7 July '89

An interview with John Lear —Part Two

CONTINUED FROM PREVIOUS ISSUE

KEY-HT= HARRY TOKARZ

-J= JOHN LEAR, JR.

-LF= LAWRENCE FENWICK

HT: "When did you undertake an expedition to the Dulce, New Mexico area to look for the hidden UFO base?"

J: "It was on October 21, 1988. I went there with seven other people who will all verify the same sequence of events and stories." (EDITOR'S NOTE: SEE ARTICLE ON THE MOUNT ARCHULETA SIGHTING LATER IN THIS ISSUE FOR A COMPLETE DESCRIPTION OF THIS EVENT.

HT: "What is the name of your main contact?"

J: "I can't tell you that because he specifically requested that because of the sensitivity of his government job that I not mention it."

LF: "Have you visited Dulce since then?"

J: "I was there a couple of days with Gabe Valdez. I spent two days with Gabe while he did his rounds (ED. NOTE: Valdez is a N.M. State policeman), but I never went to the site this time."

LF: "Do you know where the entrance is?"

J: "I have five locations of entrances given to me by a person who claimed to know a C.I.A. laboratory assistant who worked there. I sent somebody to Dulce who spent two days searching out all of those five entrances. He said he couldn't find anything even remotely similar to the directions that were given to me."

LF: "We talked earlier about the eyewitness. Could you go over that?"

J: "Yes. A scientist who works in a laboratory in New Mexico for the government came to me in July, 1988 and said that he'd heard some of my statements and was interested and wanted to know a little bit more. However, he didn't believe much of it, so he drove out there. I gave him my typical five hour briefing which

includes videotape and reading documents. He went back and I corresponded with him over the next few months. In late September, he gave me a call and said that he's been able to independently verify most everything that was in my statement, based on a classified library he had access to."

Project Grudge continues

"One of the statements was the fact that Project Grudge is a current project. It was never cancelled. They do collect current saucer reports. In the margins of the current Project Grudge sighting reports for 1988 were notes by Phillip Klass on how to debunk specific sightings--suggestions like re-entry of a rocket, re-entry of a vehicle, meteorite and stuff like that. Anyway, he knew a lot more but, because it was obtained through his clearance, he couldn't tell me."

HT: "Was James Oberg on that sheet as well?"

J: "No. They didn't mention Oberg. So, he decided that he wanted to go and find out more about Dulce because there was more evidence pointing to it. So he arranged an expedition with Dr. _____ from France and Gabe Valdez and Edmund Gomez--a total of eight people. They four-wheel-drove up there and they spent a night up there. I've got a big report on it. I can copy Dr. _____ report and send it to you (ED. NOTE

I have the report with the scientist's real name).

The day was October 21 and they were sitting at the very peak of Mount Archeluta. They made camp up there. A huge boomerang-type UFO flew over them and emitted a shower of sparks and disappeared. Then this scientist and one of the other guys went a

CONTINUED ON PAGE 10

CONTINUED FROM PAGE 9

little bit further because they wanted to get a view of across the valley to the cliffs where Dr. Paul Bennewitz says the entrances are. They could see huge glowing lights that would get real bright and then disappear on the canyon walls exactly where Bennewitz said the entrances were."

"Then, at the same time, they could hear a radio transmission like you were talking through a walkie-talkie, but they couldn't determine where it was coming from. Also, they thought they heard truck movements, but they couldn't tell where that was coming from either. There was no specific direction. They could just hear the movement."

HT: "Have there been any recent reports since October 21?"

JL: "Of what?"

HT: "Of anything in that area?"

JL: "Yes. I have one, but, because of the nature of how I got the communication I can't tell you over the phone. It just confirms that it's there."

HT: "What type of incident is it? Is it CE3 or abduction or _____?"

JL: "No. It's just regarding somebody overhearing that there was equipment being sent there for a specific purpose."

UFO sighting over Mount Archuleta—October 23, 1988

by Dr. Simon Monteau (a pseudonym)

I. LOCATION/TIME: (See ANNEXES)

Southern slopes of Mount Archuleta, 5 miles North-West of Dulce, New Mexico.

Dulce is about 27 miles West of Chama, on US 64, in Northwestern New Mexico, Rio Arriba County, and on the Jicarilla Apache Indian Reservation, only 4.5 miles South of the Colorado State line.

- location of witnesses: 400 m (435 yd) South-East of Mount Archuleta Summit (elevation: 8136' = 2480 m ; Longitude = 107°03'17" W, latitude = 36°59'19" N --- only 1.27 km = 0.79 miles = 1390 yd South of Colorado state line).

Witnesses' location height: 7900' = 2408 m.

CONTINUED FROM PAGE 10

- location of the phenomenon: South to North trajectory for about 2 miles (3 km), stopping very close to Mount Archuleta Summit, seen from a 25°-35° angle ("altitude" in Astronomy lingo) to the horizon (which makes for an height above sea level of 2600 - 2700 m or 8500' - 8800').
- . Estimated closest distance of observers to object: 440 m (480 yd) ;
- . Distance of observers to spot where UFO stopped: 470 m (510 yd).
- Time: 7:51 pm (1951 Hours) local (Mountain) time.
- duration: a few seconds (Ms. Allegre, RN, estimated the duration of the whole observation as 5 to 6 seconds).

II. WITNESSES:

- . Eliane Allegre, RN, 44, residing in Albuquerque, New Mexico ;
- . Gabriel Valdez, New Mexico State Police, residing in Dulce at the time of the observation ;
- . Edmund, a friend of Mr. Valdez, local resident ;

"Dr. Simon Murteau"

- (not his real name) , PhD, a research scientist for a major laboratory in the US ;
- . Manuel, local kid ;
- . Greg, son of Mr. Valdez ;
- . Jeff, younger son of Mr. Valdez ;
- "Jack Moran", PhD, 46, residing in Albuquerque, NM. (Jack Moran is a pseudonym)

III. CIRCUMSTANCES OF SIGHTING:

1. I was interested in the Dulce area because of rumors related to a jointly (CIA-Alien) occupied underground facility under Mount Archuleta, and to numerous associated UFO sightings, as reported by local residents.

Ref.: . "UFO Crash at Aztec", by William S. Steinman, UFO Photo Archives, Tucson 1986, ISBN 0-93424269-05-X, pp.578-604 (The Bennewitz Story) ;

. "John Lear Statement" (privately published) Dec. 29, 1987, revised March 25, 1988 (see also ParaNet, Oct. 16, 1988).

A night of observation in the mountains had been planned under the leadership of Mr. Valdez.

2. Since about 7:30 pm, we had been playing a game (called "oui-ja" by some) at the instigation of Mr. Valdez. Five players are required: one of them (the subject), in

CONTINUED FROM PAGE 11

turn, is sat on a chair. The other four place their hands on the head of the "subject", and have a moment of quiet. Then, at a signal, they lift him, using only the tips of their forefingers, jabbing them under the subject's armpits and knees. In most cases, the subject is lifted very fast and easily one foot or two up. When the same stunt is attempted without the prior 'meditation time', it is usually impossible to move the subject, even when the combined efforts result in much strain applied on the joints of the forefinger. Indeed, I know not of any conventional explanation, in terms of our Western Science, of this innocent game, played as it is around the world (I had played it in France when I was in my twenties).

Just as we were processing the last person (Jack), one of Mr. Valdez' sons shouted: "Look ! Here it comes !"

(NOTE: I do not make the statement that there was a causal correlation between what we just been doing and what we saw next. I merely report two consecutive events which may have or may have not been correlated).

3. Look of the phenomenon: The "object" (hereby designated as such -- I personally was not able to make out any structure in it) came from the South at great speed, on a flat, straight, rectilinear, horizontal trajectory resulting, for the retina in our eyes (through the persistence of luminous impression, a standard physiological reaction) in a perfectly straight luminous line (yellowish, and of some thickness, like one that would have come from a plane ~~arriving~~ light. It was definitely not a plane. I use this comparison to give an idea of the luminosity of the trajectory. We had seen already a number of shooting stars that night. Moreover, the object itself was maybe hundreds or thousands of times more luminous than theirs).

There was no sound coming from the phenomenon.

The object stopped dead in its tracks, very close to the top of Mount Archuleta, as we saw it from where we were.

At the same time, it became extremely luminous, lighting up at least half the sky.

It looked literally like the blossoming of a flower of light. There was a "display of gorgeous lights of pure color" (to quote the John Lear Statement): yellow, pink, green, enhanced by the center of it giving out a shower of sparks (not unlike portable fireworks mounted on a stick seen on the 4th of July). There was kind of a mist, too, around the object's center, at this moment.

It then sort of "folded on itself", and disappeared, vanished. There was no


more to be seen. Ms. Allegre's expression to describe the end of the phenomenon was "it slipped away into another dimension".

IV. ANNEXES: ED. NOTE: ANNEXES A & B NOT SENT TO CUFORN

- A. Maps: 1. United States (with several alleged points of interest spotted) ;
 2. Part of the South-West, including New Mexico (the curve drawn with a felt pen inside New Mexico borders is the Continental Divide) ;
 3. Northwestern part of New Mexico ;
 4. Jicarilla Apache Reservation ;
 5. Part of the "Wirt Canyon" map sheet, to the 1:24,000 scale, published by the US Geological Survey.

- B. Photos: 1. Our party ready to leave (from left to right: Mrs. Valdez (she did not join the trip), Mr. Gabriel Valdez, Manuel, "Jack Moran", Edmund, "Simon", Greg, Jeff. The photo was taken by Ms. Allegre) ;
 2. Our campsite, seen from Mount Archuleta summit, the next morning ;
 3. Mount Archuleta, seen from the campsite, the next morning.

C. Sketch of relative positions of observers versus phenomenon:



- TF = trajectory
 C = campsite
 H = orthogonal projection of C
 unto the estimated trajectory as
 drawn on the map
 CT = minimum distance of observers to
 object = 440 m (480 yd)
 F = end of phenomenon, "flower of
 light"
 dist. CF = about 490 m (530 yd).

The trajectory was about 2000' above the lowest point under the estimated path of the object, which is the Navajo River, el. 6600'.

Was Eric Arthur Walker an MJ-12 Consultant?

by Lawrence J. Fenwick

On the final page of this Bulletin, you will see a large photocopy of a photograph which appeared in an American newspaper in the 1950's. On the right, you will recognize the late U.S. President Dwight David Eisenhower. To his left is Eric Arthur Walker.

Walker was supposedly present at MJ-12 meetings and still has his notes from these meetings. On September 1, 1987, he had promised to release the notes to a MUFON state director in the U.S., but changed his mind, saying that there was no point in releasing the information. He was contacted by several ufologists, including Stanton Friedman, who urged him to reveal the data. Walker's son agreed to urge his father to do so, also to no avail.

The meetings were in the 1949-50 era and were at Wright-Patterson Air Force Base at Dayton, Ohio, U.S.A. The meetings dealt with the subject of retrieved UFOs and dead alien bodies. So far, we do not know whether Walker has handwritten notes or has documents pertaining to the meetings.

Walker was President of the University of Pennsylvania. His other qualifications include Trustee and Chairman of the Institute of Defence Analysis; Executive Secretary of the U.S. Research and Development Board; a Ph.D. in Electrical Engineering; Consultant to the National Research Council (while Detlev Bronk of MJ-12 was its Director); Chairman of the National Science Board of the National Science Foundation; President of the American Society for Engineering Education; Member of the Army Scientific Advisory Board from 1956 to 1958; Chairman of the Navy Research Advisory Commission; Chairman of the President's Science Advisory Commission; Member of the Defense Research Board; President and Founding Member of the National Academy of Engineering;;, Member of the Ordnance Research Laboratory;

Member of the Jason Group; Member of the M.I.T. Special Studies Group in the 1950's; Member of the Rand Corporation; Member of the Defence Science Board Summer Studies; worked on the Battelle Institute-U.S.A.F. Project Blue Book #14; Member of the WSEG-a support group for the Institute of Defence Analysis; and Member of the Air Force Science Advisory Commission

Asked if he knew of MJ-12 and/or the MJ-12 operation, he stated "I know of MJ-12 I have known of them for 40 years." He was also phoned by ufologist William Steinman, who sent him the MJ-12 papers.

Here, from member Grant Cameron of Winnipeg, Manitoba, are some of the statements Walker made when asked for his notes:

"Why say anything?" "You are up against the windmills". "Leave it alone..it's not worth it." "Forget it. I'm not going to discuss it." "I don't wish to talk about it."

When asked if he knew about Walker's connection to MJ-12 a few months ago, Willi L. (Bill) Moore said Walker was not connected to MJ-12. We do not know for certain how Moore is sure that Walker is not linked to MJ-12. It would be most interesting to discover why he made that statement. What we do know is that there is a potentially large number of organizations that Walker belonged to who could have been connected to MJ-12 themselves. No one has provided any direct proof of a connection so far. And, since Walker has backed down on his original offer to turn over the notes he supposedly made at the MJ-12 meetings, we may never find out the truth from him.

Research on Walker's credentials was done by CUFORN member Tom Mickus.

ERIC ARTHUR WALKER WITH THE LATE U.S. PRESIDENT DWIGHT D. EISENHOWER



ANKERS
WASHINGTON, D.C.